SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

	,							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS					
ZACHARY BEAN			NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant					
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Craig Thor Kimmel, Esqu	lress)	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.						
Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 30 E. Butler Pike			Attorneys (If Known)					
Ambler, PA 19002								•
(215) 540-8888		8						
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	ΓΙΟΝ (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	Place an "X" in C	ne Box fo	r Plaintiff
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri	and One Box fo		
☐ 2 U.S. Government ☐ Defendant	1 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizei	n of Another State	2 🗇 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		□ 5	□ 5
			n or Subject of a 💢 eign Country	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		<u> </u>	1 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (I	Place an "X" in One Box Only)			4 122-122				
CONTRACT	TORTS		RFEITURE/PENALTY		KRUPTCY		STATUT	
□ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 3 □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 3 □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 3 □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 3 □ 196 Franchise □ 220 Foreclosure □ 4 □ 220 Foreclosure □ 40 Torts to Land □ 42 Tort Product Liability □ 4 □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 4 □ 290 All Other Real Property □ 4	ERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury Product Liability 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Vehicle Product Liability 380 Other Personal Injury 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage Pr	G20 G20	O Agriculture O Other Food & Drug 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 U Liquor Laws O R.R. & Truck O Airline Regs. O Occupational Safety/Health O Other LABOR Pair Labor Standards Act C Labor/Mgmt. Relations D Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act O Railway Labor Act Other Labor Litigation Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION Naturalization Application Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee Other Immigration Actions	423 Withor 28 US PROPER 820 Copy: 830 Paten 840 Trade 861 HIA (862 Black 863 DIWC 864 SSID 865 RSI (FEDERA 870 Taxes 871 IRS 26 US 861 US 864 US 865	SC 157 RTY RIGHTS rights t t t t t t t t (1395ff) t Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) t U.TAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	■ 480 Consum	thad Bankin, celebrate and Bankin, celebrate and Bankin, celebrate are tredit at TV celebrate as Service celebrate as Allocation of Inform of Fee Detectionality of the service as Allocation of Inform and Allocation of Inform and Allocation of Inform and Exercise as Allocation of Inform and Exercise as Allocation of Inform and Exercise as Allocation and Information of Information of Information of Information and Information an	ged and ons dities/ ge stions ation Act atters Act ation rmination is
V. ORIGIN 1 Original Droceeding 2 Remove State C	ourt Appellate Court	Reope	ened anothe		☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation	ct 🗇 7 📶	ppeal to didge from lagistrate	3
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing 15 U.S.C SECTION 1692					iless diversity):			8
	Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices Ac	ot						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	DE	MAND \$		HECK YES only i J RY DEMAND :	f demanded in o	complain No	t:
VIII. RELATED CASE(S)	(See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
Explanation:		A			Vernier	***************************************		
DATE	SIGNATURE OI	ATTOR	YEY OF RECORD	·				
1-19-12								

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Case 2:12-cv-00293-PBT Document 1 Filed 01/19/12 Page 2 of 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 1493 Old Richardson Highway, North Pole, AK 99705 Address of Defendant: 507 Prodential Road Horsham, PA 19044 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Yes No V Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? No RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes No V 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1.

Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2.

FELA 2.

Airplane Personal Injury 3. Dones Act-Personal Injury 3. □ Assault, Defamation 4. Antitrust 4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury 5. D Patent 5. ☐ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury ☐ Labor-Management Relations 6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7.

Civil Rights 7.

□ Products Liability 8.

Habeas Corpus 8.

Products Liability — Asbestos 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 9. □ All other Diversity Cases 10. ☐ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11. M All other Federal Question Cases 1505c & 1692 (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) _, counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought. 7100 NOTE: A trial de novo will be a fial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any ease now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

CIV. 609 (6/08)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Zachary Bean	: :	CIVIL ACTION			
v.					
NCO Financial	systems, Inc.:	NO.			
plaintiff shall complete a C filing the complaint and ser- side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant the plaintiff and all other pa	ase Management Track Designa ve a copy on all defendants. (See event that a defendant does no shall, with its first appearance, s	deduction Plan of this court, counsel for ation Form in all civil cases at the time of \$1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse tragree with the plaintiff regarding said submit to the clerk of court and serve on the Designation Form specifying the track d.			
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CASE MANAG	EMENT TRACKS:			
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ()					
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (X)					
(d) Asbestos – Cases involve exposure to asbestos.	ving claims for personal injury o	or property damage from ()			
commonly referred to a	Cases that do not fall into tracks s complex and that need special side of this form for a detailed e	or intense management by			
management cases.)	state of this form for a detailed of	()			
(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.					
1-19-12 Date	Craig Thor Kimmel	Zachary Bean Attorney for			
215-540-8888	877-788-2864	kimmel & creditlaw.com			
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address			

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ZACHARY BEAN,)
Plaintiff) .
v.) Case No.:
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.,) COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR
Defendant) JURY TRIAL)
	(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)

COMPLAINT

ZACHARY BEAN ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. ("Defendant"):

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.
- 3. Defendant has its corporate headquarters in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and as such, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in North Pole, Alaska 99705.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 7. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania 19044.
- 8. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. At all pertinent times hereto, Defendant was hired to collect a consumer debt and attempted to collect that debt from Plaintiff.
- 11. Upon information and belief, the debt arose out of transactions that were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 12. At least from October 2011, and continuing through January 13, 2012, Defendant continuously and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff on his home telephone seeking and demanding payment of a debt allegedly owed to Fairbanks Memorial Hospital.
- 13. Defendant contacted Plaintiff, on average, three (3) to four (4) times a day during the relevant period, leaving him pre-recorded voicemail messages.
- 14. As a result of Defendant's continuous and repeated collection calls, Plaintiff received more than ten (10) collection calls a week, causing him to receive more than twenty (20) collection calls a month.
 - 15. Many of Defendant's calls to Plaintiff were at times that it was inconvenient for

Plaintiff to receive collection calls, specifically during breakfast (at or around 8:30 a.m.) and dinner (at or around 5:30 p.m.).

- 16. Furthermore, in November 2011, Defendant contacted Plaintiff at 7:30 a.m.
- 17. Upon information and belief, Defendant was seeking to collect an amount from Plaintiff more than twice the original amount of the alleged debt.
- 18. Defendant did not provide Plaintiff with any documentation regarding its authority to collect the amount it was seeking or any documentation showing how it calculated the amount allegedly owed.
- 19. Lastly, in its attempts to collect a debt, Defendant threatened Plaintiff on more than one occasion, that it would garnish his wages and/or take legal action if Plaintiff did not make payment on the alleged debt.
- 20. At the time Defendant threatened to garnish Plaintiff's wages and/or commence legal action, Defendant did not intend to take such actions.
- 21. Defendant's lack of intent is evidenced by the fact that between October 2011 and January 2012, Defendant did not garnish Plaintiff's wages and/or file any legal action against him.
- 22. Upon information and belief, Defendant contacted Plaintiff on a repetitive and continuous basis with the intent of harassing Plaintiff into paying this debt.

CONSTRUCTION OF LAW

23. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute. <u>Taylor v. Perrin, Landry, deLaunay & Durand</u>, 103 F.3d 1232 (5th Cir. 1997). "Because the Act imposes strict liability, a consumer need not show intentional conduct by the debt collector to be entitled to damages." <u>Russell v. Equifax A.R.S.</u>, 74 F. 3d 30 (2d Cir. 1996); <u>see also Gearing v. Check Brokerage Corp.</u>, 233

F.3d 469 (7th Cir. 2000) (holding unintentional misrepresentation of debt collector's legal status violated FDCPA); <u>Clomon v. Jackson</u>, 988 F. 2d 1314 (2d Cir. 1993).

- 24. The FDCPA is a remedial statute, and therefore must be construed liberally in favor of the debtor. Sprinkle v. SB&C Ltd., 472 F. Supp. 2d 1235 (W.D. Wash. 2006). The remedial nature of the FDCPA requires that courts interpret it liberally. Clark v. Capital Credit & Collection Services, Inc., 460 F. 3d 1162 (9th Cir. 2006). "Because the FDCPA, like the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) 15 U.S.C §1601 et seq., is a remedial statute, it should be construed liberally in favor of the consumer." Johnson v. Riddle, 305 F. 3d 1107 (10th Cir. 2002).
- 25. The FDCPA is to be interpreted in accordance with the "least sophisticated" consumer standard. See Jeter v. Credit Bureau, Inc., 760 F.2d 1168 (11th Cir. 1985); Graziano v. Harrison, 950 F. 2d 107 (3rd Cir. 1991); Swanson v. Southern Oregon Credit Service, Inc., 869 F.2d 1222 (9th Cir. 1988). The FDCPA was not "made for the protection of experts, but for the public that vast multitude which includes the ignorant, the unthinking, and the credulous, and the fact that a false statement may be obviously false to those who are trained and experienced does not change its character, nor take away its power to deceive others less experienced." Id. The least sophisticated consumer standard serves a dual purpose in that it ensures protection of all consumers, even naive and trusting, against deceptive collection practices, and protects collectors against liability for bizarre or idiosyncratic interpretations of collection notices. Clomon, 988 F. 2d at 1318.

3

45

6

7

9

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 26. In its actions to collect a debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in one or more of the following ways:
 - a. Defendant violated the FDCPA generally;
 - b. Defendant violated §1692c(a)(1) of the FDCPA when it communicated with Plaintiff at a time or place that it knew or should have known was inconvenient;
 - c. Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA when it harassed, oppressed or abused Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt;
 - d. Defendant violated §1692d(5) of the FDCPA when it caused Plaintiff's phone to ring repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass Plaintiff;
 - e. Defendant violated §1692e of the FDCPA when it used false, deceptive, or misleading representations or means in connection with its attempts to collect a debt;
 - f. Defendant violated §1692e(4) of the FDCPA when it represented or implicated that nonpayment of a debt will result in the garnishment of wages of any person when it did not intend to take such action;
 - g. Defendant violated §1692e(5) of the FDCPA when it threatened to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken;
 - h. Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA when it used unfair and unconscionable means in connection with the collection of a debt; and

Case 2:12-cv-00293-PBT Document 1 Filed 01/19/12 Page 9 of 9

i. Defendant violated §1692f(1) of the FDCPA when it attempted to collect an 1 2 amount that was not expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt. 3 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, ZACHARY BEAN, respectfully prays for a judgment as 4 follows: 5 a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1); 6 b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 7 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A); 8 c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation 9 costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and 10 d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court. 11 12 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 13 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, ZACHARY BEAN, demands a jury trial in this 14 case. 15 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, 16 17 Date: _1-19-12 By: 18 CRAIG THOR KIMMEL Attorney ID No. 57100 19 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 30 E. Butler Pike 20 Ambler, PA 19002 21 Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (877) 788-2864 22 Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com 23

24

25